ECRS 2010

22nd European Cosmic Ray Symposium August 3-6, 2010, Turku, Finland





## General Overview of Recent Results from the Pierre Auger Observatory

<u>Rossella Caruso</u> On behalf of the Pierre Auger Collaboration

Department of Physics and Astronomy & INFN University of Catania Catania - Italy







#### **Experimental evidencies**

• 1938: Pierre Auger measured EAS;

• 1962: John Linsley detected first cosmic ray with E>10<sup>20</sup> eV (Volcano Ranch).

Over the last 50 years detected few tenths events with E >10<sup>20</sup> eV by:
Volcano Ranch: (New Mexico,USA-1962) Haverah Park: (UK, 1970)
Yakutsk: (Siberia, 1989)
Fly's Eye: (Utah -USA, 1991)
AGASA: (Japan, 1993).
HIRES: (Utah -USA, 1997)

• 1991: Fly's Eye (fluorescence technique) measures first longitudinal profiles and the highest-energy event: E=3.2 x 10<sup>20</sup> eV;

•<u>Since 2004</u>: Pierre Auger Observatory (first experiment with hybrid technique)







#### Present (still!) physics issues:

➢ Is there an end to the cosmic ray spectrum (GZK cutoff) ?
Are the sources local (<100 Mpc)?</p>

Where do UHECRs come from? Anisotropy and sources.

What is the primary nature of UHECR particles? Nuclei? Protons ? Gamma rays? Neutrinos? Or...?

Do we understand air shower physics at ultra high energies?





## The Pierre Auger experiment

#### • Milestones:

1992: proposed by J.Cronin & A.Watson,2004: start of installation and data taking,2008: completion of the Southern Observatory

#### International Collaboration

> 280 researchers from over 70 istitutions and 17 countries: Argentina, Australia, Bolivia, Brazil, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Italy, Mexico, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain, U.K., U.SA., Vietnam

Total sky coverage:
 Northern-hemisphere → USA
 Southern-hemisphere → Argentina

- Hybrid detector technique
- Very high performances:

High statistics, long duration Data taking during installation Good geometrical and energetic resolution



#### **Pierre Auger Southern Observatory**



#### The surface station

- water Cherenkov cylindrical tank
- 100% (24 hours) duty cycle
- stand-alone unit
- max 10 W power consumption
- 10 bit, 40 Mhz FADC
- large dynamic range (1-100 particles/µs)

3 (9"diameter) Photonis phototubes

Battery box



Communication + GPS antennas

Solar panels and

eletronics box



10 m<sup>2</sup> × 1.2 m polyethilene tank



## he Fuorescence telescope

PMT Camera

> Front-end electronics



<u>Airro</u>

#### The Fuorescence telescope









### The method of FD-SD intercalibration

SD Energy calibration with a subset of "golden" hybrids events







## QUESTION: End to the cosmic ray spectrum? (Greisen, Phys. Rev. Lett., 16 (1966) 748)

or first estimate of the Primary Cosmic Ray Energy Spectrum and its suppression

## ANSWER: XES

The flux is strongly suppressed above 4x10<sup>19</sup> eV
 A single power law hypothesis rejected with significance > 20 σ
 A break in the power law ("ankle") observed at 3x10<sup>18</sup> eV

P.Auger Collaboration Phys.Lett.B 685(2010) 239 P.Auger Collaboration Phys.Rev.Lett.101,061101(2008)

#### The Hybrid + SD energy spectrum

Data sample:

- Auger Hybrid: ≃1700 events, November 2005 May 2008
- Auger SD: > 35000 events, January 2004 December 2008,  $\epsilon$  = 12790 km<sup>2</sup>sr yr



Energy uncertainty from the calibration curve:

- 7% at 10 EeV
- 15 % at 100 EeV

Overall systematic uncertainty on the absolute energy from FD: 22% (14% from the fluorescence yield!)

13

#### The combined Auger spectrum

Use of a maximum likelihood method to combine the two FD and SD spectra



#### The combined Auger spectrum

Comparison with the HiRes spectrum



# QUESTION: Where do UHECRs come from?

or are their sources within our cosmological neighbourhood? Anisotropy studies on small and large scale needed

# ANSWER: We don't know yet,but ....

No excess localized around the Galactic Centre
 First evidence of anisotropy in arrival directions E>60 EeV

P.Auger Collaboration, Astropart. Phys. 27(2007)244P.Auger Collaboration, Science 318(2007)339P.Auger Collaboration, Astropart. Phys. 29(2008)188P.Auger Collaboration, submitted Astr.Phys. (June, 26 2010)

## Search for excess of UHECRs from GC

#### Data sample:

- January1, 2004 March 30, 2006
- 79265 SD events with θ<60°, 10<sup>17.9</sup>eV<E<10<sup>18.5</sup>eV
- 3439 FD events with  $\theta$ <75°, E>10<sup>17</sup>eV
- AUGER = 4 AGASA, AUGER = 10 SUGAR

Determination of background from isotropic cosmic rays with 2 methods

3

2



No significant excess!
2116 observed events
2160, 2170 expected for flat distribution



## Correlation with nearby extra-galactic objects



#### Monitoring the correlation signal



First scan (14 events) gave:  $\psi$  < 3.1°, z < 0.018 (75 Mpc) and E > 56 EeV→P<sub>ISO</sub>=21% >At the present, 38% (21/55) events correlate with AGNs against 21% expected for the isotropy (Nature? catalog?)

#### BUT the signal is still incompatible with isotropy at 99.7% c.l.

# QUESTION: What is the composition of UHECRs?

Or are cosmic rays heavy or light nuclei? Or do we understand air shower physics at ultra-high energies?



Change in the elongation rate around the ankle: transition from galactic to extra-galactic cosmic rays?

> A gradual increase of the mass up to E = 59 EeV: towards a heavier composition at higher energies?

P.Auger Collaboration, Phys.Rev.Lett. 104,091101(2010)

#### Mass composition and its observables

Elongation rate

 Assuming Heitler's (1954) model and superposition model (Gaisser 1982): "A nucleus of energy E and mass A is equivalent to A independent protons of energy E/A"

$$| \langle X_{\max} \rangle = \alpha (\ln E - \langle \ln A \rangle) + \beta$$

#### α, β model-dipendent coefficients



CAVEAT: the intepretation depends on the hadronic models!

RMS ( $X_{max}$ )



## <u>Measurement of X<sub>max</sub> for EAS > 10<sup>18</sup> eV</u>

- Data sample: 3754 hybrid events, December 2004-March 2009
- $X_{max}$  resolution estimated by MC simulations:  $\approx 20$  g/cm<sup>2</sup>
- Systematics  $\leq 13g/cm^2$  for  $X_{max}$  and  $\leq 6 g/cm^2$  for RMS( $X_{max}$ )

 $D_{10} = 106^{+35}_{-21} \text{ g/cm}^2/\text{decade at } \text{E} < 10^{18.24 \pm 0.05}$  $D_{10} = 24\pm3 \text{ g/cm}^2/\text{decade at } \text{E} > 10^{18.24 \pm 0.05}$  Xmax RMS



# QUESTION: Are there UHE photons in our data?

Or search for primay photons and the evaluation of the photon fraction

# ANSWER: No photons!

first and only upper limit >10 EeV with fluorescence technique
 upper limit with SD improves bounds from other experiments
 the results put STRONG constraints on TOP-DOWN models

P.Auger	Collaboration	Astrop.Phys.	31	(2009)	399
P.Auger	Collaboration	Astrop.Phys.	29	(2008)	243
P.Auger	Collaboration	Astrop.Phys.	27	(2007)	155

#### **Discriminating observables**



# Direct observation of the shower longitudinal profile

Auger Collab.Astr.Phys.27(2007)155



deeper depth of shower maximun X<sub>max</sub>

SD



FADC-time

# Direct observation of the shower lateral profile

Auger Collab. Astrop. Phys. 29 (2008) 243





For a smaller height H (larger X<sub>max</sub>):
larger delays at r
i.e. smaller radius of curvature R;
larger spread of arrival times over ΔH<sub>4</sub>

i.e. larger signal risetime;

## Upper limit on the photon fraction



#### <u>Conclusions</u>

Pierre Auger Observatory completed in 2008 and in data taking so far.

The power of hybrid technique: joined use of fluorescence telescopes and surface stations

About 6 years of data (million cosmic ray events) acquired and analysed.

Unique results on Energy Spectrum, Mass Composition, Anisotropy on small and large scale, Photon and tau Neutrino Upper Limits.



> No exhaustive answers, open and intriguing questions still present...

But coming soon new results: we are only waiting for new so rare events and we will go on collecting data...for the next 15 years!!