Atmospheric data over a solar cycle: No connection between galactic cosmic rays and aerosol formation events

Ilona Riipinen,

M. Kulmala, T. Nieminen, M. Hulkkonen, L. Sogacheva, H.E. Manninen, P. Paasonen, T. Petäjä, M. Dal Maso, P.P. Aalto, A. Viljanen, I. Usoskin, R. Vainio, S. Mirme, A. Mirme, A. Minikin, A. Petzold, U. Hõrrak, C. Plaß-Dülmer, W. Birmili and V.-M. Kerminen

UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

4.8.2010 ECRS, Turku, Finland

Atmospheric aerosols: Ubiquitous and variable

- Liquid or solid particles suspended in air
- Diameters ~10⁻⁹ 10⁻⁴ m
- Concentrations ~10⁰ 10⁵ cm⁻³ (10⁻¹ 10² μg m⁻³)



Aerosols are the largest single uncertainty in climate forcing calculations



Particle formation events at the SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä, Finland

 Nucleation (A) + condensational growth (B) to climatically relevant sizes



Mechanistic understanding still incomplete

Nucleation is a significant source of atmospheric aerosol

30-75 % of continental particle numbers



Kulmala et al., J. Aerosol Sci. 2004; Merikanto et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys 2009

Are atmospheric nucleation and galactic cosmic rays connected?

- Ion-induced or -mediated nucleation proposed as a major mechanism of atmospheric nucleation (e.g. Yu and Turco, GRL 2000; Yu, ACP 2006)
 - Charge helps stabilize sulfuric acid/water/ammonia clusters (e.g. Winkler et al., Science 2008)
- Indications of correlation between low level clouds and galactic cosmic rays (Svensmark and Friis-Christensen, J. Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys. 2006)
 - Production of CCN by ion-induced nucleation?
- Do we find indications of cosmic rays controlling atmospheric nucleation?

Approach 1: 13 years of aerosol formation data vs. CRII

- Continuous aerosol size distribution measurements in Hyytiälä, Finland, years 1996-2008
 - Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) instrument
- Cosmic Ray Ionization Intensity (CRII) time series calculated with the CRAC:CRII model (Usoskin and Kovaltsov, JGR 2006)
 - Comparison between CRII and particle formation



Approach 2: Ion-induced fraction of atmospheric nucleation in Europe

- Charged and total aerosol size distributions at 3 European sites
 - (Neutral cluster and) Air Ion Spectrometers (N)AIS
 - Ion-induced fraction of particle formation rates



Approach 3: Vertical profiles of charged and neutral particles over Europe

 Airborne NAIS measurements of aerosol and ion size distributions over Central Europe



Results: Aerosol formation in Hyytiälä, 1996-2008

Clear seasonal and annual trends



Aerosol formation in Hyytiälä 1996-2008: No correlation with CRII

Similar results in all particle size classes



No clear connection with geomagnetic activity either

Brightness and nucleation events in Hyytiälä $P = \int_{0}^{16} R_{obs} / \int_{0}^{16} R_{theor}$

Brightness parameter



■ R = 0.60, p < 10⁻¹⁰

Related to photochemical production of condensable vapors

Ion-induced fraction of atmospheric nucleation at 3 European sites

Ion-induced fraction typically < 10 %, ions favored at cleaner conditions</p>



lida et al., JGR 2006; Manninen et al., ACP 2009

Vertical profiles of ions and neutral particles

- No significant variation inside troposphere
 - More data needed!



Mirme et al., ACP 2009

Conclusions and future directions

- Cosmic rays do not seem to control atmospheric nucleation – at least not in Hyytiälä
 - No correlation with geomagnetic activity either
- Neutral mechanisms dominate over ion-induced nucleation in continental Europe
 - Ion-induced fraction usually < 10%</p>
 - No clear signs of increasing ion-induced fraction with altitude
 - Availability of condensable vapors more important
 - Other sites / altitudes / pristine atmosphere?
- Other connections between cloudiness and cosmic rays?

For details of this work, see e.g. Kulmala et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10, 1885-1898, 2010.

Extra slides

The DMPS system at the SMEAR II: particle size distribution measurements since 1996



Cosmic radiation and particle number



Similar results for geomagnetic activity

Cosmic radiation and nucleation events, monthly numbers



 CRII shows no signs of controlling NPF or particle numbers

Cosmic radiation and cloudiness in Hyytiälä



Connection cannot be ruled out – no significant correlation found though

Nucleation and geomagnetic activity



Binary correlation coefficients

	Number of nucleation events	Number of events/ number of bright days	CRII (cm ⁻³ s ⁻¹)
CRII (cm ⁻³ s ⁻¹)	-0.14 (0.09)	-0.04 (0.69)	-
Brightness parameter	0.60 (<10-10)	-	-0.09 (0.27)
<i>aa</i> (nT)	0.11 (0.15)	0.20 (1.9 × 10 ⁻²)	-0.65 (<10 ⁻¹⁰)
Кр	0.14 (0.09)	0.13 (0.12)	-0.68 (<10 ⁻¹⁰)
ΔB (nT)	0.38 (1.1 × 10 ⁻⁶)	0.10 (0.27)	-0.65 (<10 ⁻¹⁰)
$J_3 \ ({\rm cm}^{-3} {\rm s}^{-1})$	0.39 (1.5 × 10 ⁻⁵)	0.013 (0.90)	0.05 (0.63)
$GR \pmod{h^{-1}}$	-0.11 (0.23)	-0.17 (0.08)	-0.08 (0.41)
N_{3-25nm} (cm ⁻³)	0.69 (<10 ⁻¹⁰)	0.19 (0.03)	-0.16 (0.04)
N _{25-100nm} (cm ⁻³)	0.66 (<10-10)	-0.03 (0.73)	-0.19 (0.02)
$N_{100-1000\text{nm}}$ (cm ⁻³)	0.11 (0.18)	-0.35 (3.7 × 10 ⁻⁵)	-0.16 (0.05)

Nucleation around electrically charged molecules or particles

 Electrostatic charge-dipole interaction induces cluster formation



 ε_0 permittivity of vacuum, ε_r dielectric constant

Free energy curve has a minimum and a maximum for moderate S

 Nucleation barrier is the difference in free energies between the minimum and the maximum



Atmospheric ion production in Hyytiälä

 Total ionization rate in Hyytiälä 4.2 – 17.6 ion pairs cm⁻³ s⁻¹ (mean ~ 6 ion pairs cm⁻³ s⁻¹)



Ion spectrometers, AIS and BSMA

(Mirme et al., BER 2007; Tammet, Atmos. Res. 2006)

- Sizes even below 1 nm can be reached
 - No charging required measure naturally charged fraction
 - High flow rates can be used (particle counting with electrometers)
 Concentration measurement



Size distributions of charged atmospheric particles in Hyytiälä

Concentrations ~1- 10 % of total concentrations



Air ion / charged particle dynamics



How to assess the importance of ionrelated nucleation mechanisms?

 Measurements on both neutral and charged particles now possible: NAIS, newly-developed CPCs



Comparison of total vs. charged particle concentrations

Kulmala et al., Science 2007; Sipilä et al., Science 2010